[22nd March 1934

A.—(*a*) The information is not available. It has been called for.

(*b*) If a Commissioner is, for any reason, unable to attend to his duties his division will be administered by the President or such other Commissioner as the President may nominate.

Tour of the President of the Hindu Religious Endowments Board in Malabar and South Kanara in June 1933.

* 815 *Q.*—Subadar-Major S. A. NANJAPPA Bahadur: Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state whether the President of the Hindu Religious Endowments Board toured last June in the districts of Malabar and South Kanara for about two weeks after the Divisional Commissioner toured in the same districts for about three weeks in March?

A.—According to their tour programmes the Divisional Commissioner toured in the districts for about a fortnight in March 1933 and the President for about ten days in June 1933.

Application of coercive processes by the Hindu Religious Endowments Board for recovery of contributions.

* 816 *Q.*—Subadar-Major S. A. NANJAPPA Bahadur: Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) the amounts of contribution collected by the Board itself and through the Revenue authorities till January 1934;

(*b*) whether it is a fact that properties worth Rs. 5,000 belonging to a temple in Mannargudi taluk, Tanjore district, were attached and sold for recovery of contribution of Rs. 2,500 and were sold for Rs. 500; and

(*c*) the number of cases in which the properties of the temples and maths were sold for realization of contribution and the total amount realized thereby till 28th February 1934?

A.— (*a*) *to* (*c*) The information is not available; it has been called for.

Progress of audit by the Hindu Religious Endowments Board of the accounts of excepted temples and maths.

* 817 *Q.*—Mr. M. A. MANIKKAVELU NAYAKAR: Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) the number of excepted temples and maths whose accounts were audited by the Board as provided for in section 48 of the Madras Hindu Religious Endowments Act; and

(*b*) whether the Government will be pleased to lay on the table the number and names of auditors appointed by them for the years 1930, 1931, 1932 and 1933 for the districts of Tanjore, Tinnevelly and Trichinopoly and the number of audits given by the Board to each auditor in those districts?